## Mechanisms of mitral regurgitation

MitraClip results - is New Zealand missing out?

Lars Sondergaard, MD, DMSc Professor of Cardiology Rigshospitalet, University of Copenhagen Denmark Degenerative/primary





2

## 1

## Mechanisms of mitral regurgitation

Degenerative/primary





## 3

### Background

- Patients with heart failure (HF) in whom mitral regurgitation (MR) develops secondary to left ventricular dysfunction have a poor prognosis, reduced quality-of-life, frequent hospitalizations for HF and decreased survival
- There are no proven therapies for secondary MR in HF
- Guideline-directed medical therapy and cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) may provide symptomatic relief in some pts
- Whether correcting secondary MR improves the prognosis of patients with HF is unknown
- Surgery with a downsized annuloplasty ring has not been demonstrated to be beneficial for secondary MR, and has a high recurrence rate

4





## Percutaneous Repair with the MitraClip Device for Severe Secondary Mitral Regurgitation





## **Study Design**

Objective → to evaluate the clinical efficacy of percutaneous mitral valve repair in addition to medical treatment in patients with heart failure and severe functional/secondary mitral regurgitation versus medical treatment alone.

Primary Composite Endpoint → All-Cause Deaths or Unplanned re-hospitalization for Heart failure at 12 months

#### Obadia et al. Eurointervention 2015;10:1354-1360

ESC Congress Munich 2018	•	9
7		











## Conclusion

MITRA-FR is the first RCT assessing any MV treatment for secondary MR
Percutaneous procedure was safe and effective
No difference with a control group → Ventricle >>> Valve
More randomized studies are necessary to confirm our results and to define possible
sub-group of patients who could benefit from MV repair

#### ESC Congress Munich 2018

•<sub>12</sub> •

## 

# COAPT

A Randomized Trial of Transcatheter Mitral Valve Leaflet Approximation in Patients with Heart Failure and Secondary Mitral Regurgitation

### Gregg W. Stone, MD

On behalf of Michael Mack, William Abraham, JoAnn Lindenfeld and the COAPT Investigators





14







	MitraClip + GDMT (n=302)	GDMT alone (n=312)	HR [95% CI]	P-val
MV intervention or surgery*	4.0%	9.0%	0.61 [0.27, 1.36]	0.2
- MitraClip	3.7%	6.6%	0.99 [0.38, 2.58]	0.9
- Mitral valve surgery	0.4%	2.5%	0.14 [0.02, 1.17]	0.0
PCI or CABG	2.8%	4.3%	0.62 [0.24, 1.60]	0.3
Stroke	4.4%	5.1%	0.96 [0.42, 2.22]	0.9
Myocardial infarction	4.7%	6.5%	0.82 [0.38, 1.78]	0.6
New CRT implant	2.9%	3.3%	0.85 [0.31, 2.34]	0.7
LVAD or heart transplant	4.4%	9.5%	0.37 [0.17, 0.81]	0.0
- LVAD	3.0%	7.1%	0.34 [0.13, 0.87]	0.0
- Heart transplant	1.4%	3.6%	0.35 [0.09, 1.32]	0.1





Why are the COAPT Results so Different from MITRA-FR? **Possible Reasons** MITRA-FR (n=304) COAPT (n=614) vere FMR by EU guidelines EROA >20 mm<sup>2</sup> or RV >30 mL/beat Severe FMR by US guidelines: EROA >30 mm<sup>2</sup> or RV >45 mL/beat Severe MR entry criteria EROA (mean ± SD) 135 ± 35 mL/m<sup>2</sup> LVEDV (mean ± SD) 101 ± 34 mL/m<sup>2</sup> Receiving HF meds at baseline – allowed variable adjustment in each group during follow-up per "real-world" practice CEC confirmed pts were failing maximally-tolerated GDMT at baseline – few major changes GDMT at baseline and FU during follow-up Acute results: No clip / ≥3+ MR 9% / 9% 5% / 5% Procedural complications\* 14.6% 12-mo MitraClip ≥3+ MR de, urg

21

	Conclusions			
<ul> <li>In p who tran was HF h fund</li> </ul>	ts with HF and moderate-to-severe or severe secondary MR o remained symptomatic despite maximally-tolerated GDMT scatheter mitral leaflet approximation with the MitraClip safe, provided durable reduction in MR, reduced the rate of nospitalizations, and improved survival, quality-of-life and tional capacity during 24-month follow-up			
<ul> <li>As s</li> <li>prog</li> <li>LV d</li> </ul>	uch, the MitraClip is the first therapy shown to improve the gnosis of patients with HF by reducing secondary MR due to ysfunction			

22